# PREDICTING BLOOD DONATIONS

A Machine Learning Data Analysis



Faisal Fattani Intern Data Analyst Feb. 28<sup>th</sup>, 2021

## **CONTENTS**

OVERVIEW	1
METHODOLOGY	2
IMPLEMENTATION	4
CONCLUSIONS	6
LIMITATIONS	7
REFERENCES	8



## **OVERVIEW**

Blood supplies are vital for a very wide range of usage; from emergency trauma procedures to chronic patients that continuously depend on transfusions and many more. However, the sensitivity of blood and the conditions by which it needs to be stored in, along with the fact that it can only be stored for a limited time deem constant need for blood donations. The red cross reported the need for about 38,000 daily donations, and predictability ensures supply levels staying afloat, preventing many medical catastrophes and even life loss.

**This report details an analysis targeted to predict blood donation instances through machine learning.** In this report, I will share my approach to the problem and the technical methodology by which I do so, its implementation, and what the conclusions of the analysis entail.

### **About MTE**

MedTourEasy is a global healthcare company that provides the informational resources needed to evaluate global options. MTE helps find the right healthcare solutions based on specific health needs, and affordable care while meeting the quality standards that are expect in healthcare - providing an easy to use platform and service that helps patients get medical second opinions and schedule affordable, high-quality medical treatment abroad.



## **METHODOLOGY**

The project sets up a pipeline to dynamically configure and assess models to find the most accurate fit to predict if a previous donor would donate at a given instance.

The overall work flow of the project is structured as follows:





### **Data Source**

The project uses data sourced from the Blood Transfusion Service Center in Taiwan. The dataset contains 847 blood donors, including the following information about each

individual:

- Months since last transfusion
- Total number of donations
- Total blood donated
- Timespan since first donation

Along with the above-mentioned information, the dataset also included weather each

individual donated for a specific month (March 2007) - our target variable.

### **TPOT Pipeline**

This project used the **TPOTCLASSIFIER** from the TPOT package, an automated pipeline exploring

different algorithms and models; the ROC\_AUC\_SCORE from SKlearn was specified as the

validation metric to compare models and choose the best fit based on.

### **Prediction Model**

As TPOT found logistic regression to fit the data best for prediction, the

**linear\_model.LogisticRegression** implementation from the Sklearn package was used.



## **IMPLEMENTATION**

### DATA PROCESSING AND BASIC EXPLORATION

The dataset was relatively clean with no missing values, nor were there any misinterpreted values or any implicit biases that were identified. Here are some of the summary statistics from the data:

	Recency (Months)	Times donated	Total Amount (CC)	Timespan (Months)
Mean	9.51	5.51	1378.68	34.28
Std. Deviation	8.10	5.84	1459.83	24.38
25 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	2.75	2.00	500.00	16.00
75 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	14.00	7.00	1750.00	50.00

Upon an overview of variance in the data, one variable seemed to stand out with high variance: donated blood amounts. Data for this variable were then log-normalized to decrease variance and improve the model's performance.

#### DATA VARIANCE

#### VARIANCE AFTER LOG-NORMALIZATION

Recency (months)	66.929	Recency (months)	66.929017
Frequency (times)	33.830	Frequency (times)	33.829819
Monetary (c.c. blood)	2114363.700	Time (months)	611.146588
Time (months)	611.147	monetary_log	0.837458



After making sure the variance for each variable in the data was within a magnitude of order, the data were split into training and testing splits (a **0.75/0.25** split) while stratifying on the targeted variable, ensuring a consistent distribution across the splits. The variable of weather an individual donated at the given instance (March 2007) will be the target for the model to predict a future donation instance.

### SETTING UP THE TPOT PIPELINE

As mentioned earlier in the methodology section, a TPOTCLASSIFIER was instantiated; using the built-in 'tpot-light' configuration for fast processing. A seed was specified for debugging and reproducibility, along with supplying arguments like the population size and SKlearn's Compute Area Under the Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve (ROC\_AUC) score. TPOT found **Logistic Regression** to be the best model with an AUC score of **0.7850**.

### **TRAINING THE MODEL**

The logistic regression model from the SKlearn package was imported and trained on the training set using the 'liblinear' solver. Even though an AUC score was previously given as the pipeline compared models, the model was validated again to test its accuracy on the testing split from the data; the model predicted donations with an accuracy of **0.76**.



## **CONCLUSIONS**

Given an individual's history of the four given variables – time since last donation, number of times donated, overall donated amount, and timespan since the first donation – a model was developed to predict if the individual would donate or not with an accuracy of 0.76. The model produced the following regression coefficients for the variables;

Recency	:	- 0.09
Frequency	:	0.96
Amount	:	- 0.03
Timespan	:	0.29

Generally associating more established donors to have higher probabilities to donate again, with frequency and timespan having the most impact.

Medical facilities and healthcare providers having a better ability to estimate blood donations ensures a steady supply of blood and the treatment that is contingent, periods of relative scarcity can be avoided if foreseen early either by taking direct measures or by coordination within a health network and its supply chains.



## LIMITATIONS

The model was validated at the mentioned accuracy using the testing split, which also targeted the same instance (March 2007); However, the model's utility to predict an individual donating (or not) at a future instance may not consider intangible biases that may not have been accounted for; weather explicitly within the data, or generally about our social tendencies and how they have developed – as demonstrated by the current pandemic. The following questions (among many more) may shed light on what might limit this model's predictions with less supervised raw data:

- Do blood supply levels experience seasonal fluctuations?
- How much does geographical location affect donation tendencies?
- How has the current COVID pandemic affected hygiene standards and policy?
- Have efforts about spreading awareness on blood donations changed with time or have they remained steady?



## REFERENCES

Below are links to sources that were referenced through this report:

**DATA SOURCE** https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Blood+Transfusion+Service+Center

#### SciKitLearn PACKAGE: LOGISTIC REGRESSION

https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.linear\_model.LogisticRegression

#### SciKitLearn PACKAGE: ROC\_AUC\_SCORE

https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.metrics.roc\_auc\_score.html

#### **TPOT PACKAGE: TPOTCLASSIFIER**

http://epistasislab.github.io/tpot/using/

RED CROSS BLOOD DONATION ESTIMATES

https://www.umms.org/-/media/files/ummc/community/blood-facts